



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,423	10/069,423 02/17/2002		Thomas Bertelshofer	BERTELSHOFER 3218	
20151	7590	08/14/2003			
HENRY M		EXAMINER			
350 FIFTH A SUITE 4714				JOHNSON, VICKY A	
NEW YORK, NY 10118				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3682	
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
a)	10/069,423	BERTELSHOFER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 32-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2002 is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the rotatable tension roller must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9 line 2 "housing 1" should be --housing 2--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/069,423

Art Unit: 3682

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls 6. within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "a traction drive", and the claim also recites "in particular a belt drive" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation, claim 17 recites the broad recitation "is nondetachable fixed", and the claim also recites "in particular by gluing" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation, and claim 18 recites the broad recitation "a plastic", and the claim also recites "in particular a PU-foam" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/069,423

Art Unit: 3682

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 15, 17-20, 22-25, 32, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmid (US 5,702,314).

Schmid discloses a tensioner comprising: housing (2), a recess (see Fig), a bearing receptacle (6), an axle (7), a swivel arm (9), a torsion spring (13), a friction disk (10), a plastic, tubular insert (20) that exceeds the inner diameter of the torsion spring (col. 4 lines 1-3) and is at a mid-section of the torsion spring (see Fig), and a circular ring shaped space (12) defined by the bearing receptacle and the torsion spring (see Fig).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3, 4, 16, 21, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmid (US 5,702,314) in view of Ayukawa (US 5,803,849).

Schmid discloses the tensioner as described above, but does not disclose an insert placed in the space which is defined by the torsion spring and an inner wall of the housing.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,423

Art Unit: 3682

Ayukawa discloses a tensioner having an insert (32) placed in the space of a ring groove (see Fig 3), which is defined by the torsion spring and an inner wall of the housing.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an insert as taught by Ayukawa in order to reduce noise (col. 2 lines 15-21).

11. Claims 9-13, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmid (US 5,702,314) in view of Ito et al (JP-1320367).

Schmid discloses the tensioner as described above, but does not disclose an insert which when viewed in half section had a U-shaped profile with walls substantially parallel, the walls having different lengths, and that the shorter wall against the outer wall of the bearing receptacle.

Ito et al show an insert which when viewed in half section had a U-shaped profile with walls substantially parallel (see Fig 4), the walls having different lengths (see Fig 4), and that the shorter wall against the outer wall of the bearing receptacle (see Fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tensioner of Schmid by replacing the insert with the insert as taught by Ito et al in order to absorb impact and vibration (abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/069,423

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 13. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00a-5:00p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

vaj par ellolo? August 10, 2003

Primary Examiner